### **REMARKS**

#### I. <u>Introduction</u>

In response to the Office Action dated January 11, 2008, claims 8 and 9 have been cancelled, and claims 4 and 21 have been amended. Claims 1-7 and 10-21 remain in the application. Reexamination and re-consideration of the application, as amended, is requested.

# II. Amendments to the Specification

Applicants' attorney has made amendments to the specification indicated above to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code at page 31. This amendment to the specification overcomes the Examiner's objection to this text at page 2 of the Office Action.

### III. Claim Amendments

Applicants' attorney has made amendments to claims 4 and 21 as indicated above. These amendments were made solely for the purpose of clarifying the language of the claims, and were not required for patentability or to distinguish the claims over the prior art.

### IV. Non-Art Rejections

On pages (2)-(3) of the Office Action, claims 4 and 21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention. In order to overcome these rejections, claims 4 and 21 have been amended hereinabove in accordance with the Examiner's suggestions.

On pages (4)-(5) of the Office Action, claims 8 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. While Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection because for example, one of skill in the art would not agree with the Patent Office's interpretations of the implications of this language, these claims have nonetheless been cancelled in order to further the prosecution of the instant application. The cancellation of these claims, with traverse and without acquiescence to the Examiner's rejection, renders this rejection moot.

## V. <u>Conclusion</u>

In view of the above, it is submitted that this application is now in good order for allowance and such allowance is respectfully solicited. Should the Examiner believe minor matters still remain that can be resolved in a telephone interview, the Examiner is urged to call Applicants' undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

GATES & COOPER LLP Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Howard Hughes Center 6701 Center Drive West, Suite 1050 Los Angeles, California 90045

By: Y Y | Name: William J. Wood

(310) 641-8797

Reg. No.: 42,236

Date: April 11, 2008

WJW/bjs

G&C 30448.108-US-WO